

**THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS  
2017 SCREENING MATRIX**

<b>1. CASE DETAILS</b>			
<b>Case Reference</b>	22/35765/FUL	<b>Proposal</b>	Change of use from student accommodation to asylum seeker accommodation
<b>Applicant</b>	Serco	<b>Address</b>	Former University Halls Of Residence Stafford Education And Enterprise Park Weston Road Stafford Staffordshire ST18 0AB
<b>2. EIA DETAILS</b>			
<b>Is the project Schedule 1 development according to Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations?</b>			No
<b>If YES, which description of development (THEN GO TO Q4)</b>			-
<b>Is the project Schedule 2 development under the EIA Regulations?</b>			Yes
<b>If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 and Column 2?</b>			<i>10. Infrastructure projects (b) Urban development projects, including the construction of shopping centres and car parks, sports stadiums, leisure centres and multiplex cinemas</i>
<b>Are the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 exceeded/met?</b>			Yes
<b>If yes, which applicable threshold/criteria?</b>			<i>(i) The development includes more than 1 hectare of urban development which is not dwellinghouse development Site exceeds 1ha of none dwellinghouse development (1.5ha)</i>
<b>Is the development within, partly within, or near a 'sensitive area' as defined by Regulation 2 of the EIA Regulations?</b>			No
<b>If YES, which area?</b>			-

Question	<b>(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons</b> (Yes/No or Not Known (?)) or N/A)		<b>(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely?</b> (Yes/No or Not Known (?)) or N/A)	
	Briefly explain answer to Part 2a and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature and proximity to site <b>(If answer in Part 2a / 2b is 'No', the answer to Part 3a / 3b is 'N/A')</b>		Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularly to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is <b>reliant on specific features or measures</b> of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the environment <b>these should be identified in bold.</b>	
<b>3. NATURAL RESOURCES</b>				
<b>3.1</b> Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?	No	Scheme is a change of use and only proposes internal alterations	N/A	-
<b>3.2</b> Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?	No	-	N/A	-
<b>3.3</b> Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, <b>high quality or scarce resources</b> which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?	No	-	N/A	-

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) ( <u>only if Yes in part 2a</u> ) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
<b>4. WASTE</b>				
<b>4.1</b> Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?	No	-	No	-
<b>5. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES</b>				
<b>5.1</b> Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	No	-	No	-
<b>5.2</b> Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	No	-	No	-
<b>5.3</b> Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?	No	Existing drainage system would be retained and used	No	-
<b>5.4</b> Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?	No	-	No	-
<b>6. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH</b>				
<b>6.1</b> Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during	No	-	No	-

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
construction, operation or decommissioning?				
<b>6.2</b> Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)	No	-	No	-
<b>7. WATER RESOURCES</b>				
<b>7.1</b> Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?	No	-	No	-
<b>8. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND HABITATS)</b>				
<b>8.1</b> Are there any protected areas which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated / non-classified areas which are important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or	No	-	No	-

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local)).				
<b>8.2</b> Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?	?	Low potential for protected species using the site	No	This would be fully assessed during the determination of the application and surveys and appropriate mitigation could be secured
<b>9. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL</b>				
<b>9.1</b> Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project? <sup>1</sup> Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	No	-	No	-
<b>9.2</b> Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)	Yes	Residential development to south, sports pitches to north	No	Built form already existing, only internal alterations proposed

<sup>1</sup> See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
<b>10. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEOLOGY</b>				
<b>10.1</b> Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	No	-	No	-
<b>11. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS</b>				
<b>11.1</b> Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?	No	-	No	-
<b>11.2</b> Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?	No	-	No	-
<b>12. LAND USE</b>				
<b>12.1</b> Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the	Yes	Site in close proximity to leisure centre & sports pitches, dwellings, schools, crematorium	No	Scheme is a change of use and only proposes internal alterations, no significant effects likely with regards to EIA

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.				
<b>12.2</b> Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	No	-	No	-
<b>13. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE</b>				
<b>13.1</b> Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?	No	-	No	-
<b>14. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>				
<b>14.1</b> Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?	No	-	No	-
<b>15. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS</b>				
<b>15.1</b> Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? <sup>2</sup>	No	-	No	-

<sup>2</sup> The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

**16. CONCLUSIONS – ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3**

Whilst the site is in close proximity to residential areas and other built form the scheme is a change of use and only proposes internal alterations. The development is not considered likely to result in significant effects with regards to EIA.

**17. SCREENING DECISION**

<b>If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?</b>	N/A
---	-----

<b>Is an ES required?</b>	No
---------------------------	----

**18. ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 DEVELOPMENT)****OUTCOME**

<b>Is likely to have significant effects on the environment</b>	ES required	
---	-------------	--

<b><u>Not</u> likely to have significant effects on the environment</b>	ES not required	X
---	-----------------	---

<b>More information is required to inform direction</b>	Request further info	
---	----------------------	--

<b>NAME</b>	Vanessa Blake
<b>DATE</b>	22/4/22